Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases: High-GWP Gases

Source/Sectors: Substitution of ODS/End-uses of Consumer Products and Specialty Products

Technology: Substitution with lower GWP HFCs (C.1.2.2.1)

Description of the Technology:

HFC-152a possesses a lower flammability risks than hydrocarbons and dimethyl ether as well as low GWP of 120. Therefore, it is expected to be a good replacement for HFC-134a (USEPA, 2001).

Effectiveness: Good

Implementability: Good

Reliability: This option is very effective for the emission reductions from the aerosol sectors (USEPA 2004).

Maturity: HFC-152a has been in market for products such as electronic equipment dusters, boat and safety "air" horns, and tire inflators (IEA, 2003; USEPA, 2001). It is also used as a propellant for laboratory and experimental uses (IEA, 2003; IEA GHG, 2001). The incremental maximum market penetration of this option is assumed to be 50% in 2020 (USEPA, 2006b).

Environmental Benefits: HFCs emission reduction

Cost Effectiveness:

Technology	Lifetime (yrs)	MP (%)	RE (%)	TA (%)	Capital cost	Annual cost	Benefits
Substitution with lower GWP HFCs ¹	10	25	91	48	\$0.75	-\$2.52	\$0.00

Note: MP: market penetration; RE: reduction efficiency; TA: technical applicability; costs are in year 2000 US\$/MT_{CO2-Eq.} 1: USEPA (2001), IEA (2003), & USEPA (2004)

Industry Acceptance Level: Good

Limitations: HFC-152a possesses moderate flammability risks that might not be viable in some applications; it is assumed that this technical option potentially abates 60% of HFC-134a emissions, which accounts for 80% of total non-MDI aerosol emissions (IEA, 2003).

Sources of Information:

- California Energy Commission (2005) "Emission Reduction Opportunities for Non-CO₂
 Greenhouse Gases in California", a report prepared by ICF Consulting for California Energy
 Commissions, CEC-500-2005-121, July 2005.
- 2. California Energy Commission (2006) "Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004", final staff report, December 22, 2006.
- 3. D. Little (1999) "Global Comparative Analysis of HFC and Alternative Technologies for Refrigeration, Air Conditioning, Foam, Solvent, Aerosol Propellant and Fire Protection Applications", by J. Dieckmann and H. Magid, A.D. Little, Cambridge, reference number 49468, United Kingdom, August 1999.

- 4. International Energy Agency (2001) "Abatement of Emissions of Other Greenhouse Gases Engineered Chemicals", Report Number PH3/35, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, February 2001.
- International Energy Agency (2003) "Building the Cost Curves for the Industrial Sources of Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases", Report Number PH4/25, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, October 2003.
- 6. March Consulting Group (1999) "UK Emissions of HFCs, PFCs, and SF₆ and Potential Emission Reduction Options: Final Report", Commissioned by the Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, United Kingdom, January 1999.
- 7. U.S. Climate Change Technology Program (2005) "Technology Options for the Near and Long Term", U.S. Department of Energy, http://www.climatetechnology.gov/index.htm, August 2005.
- 8. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2001) "U.S. High GWP Gas Emissions 1990 2010: Inventories, Projections, and Opportunities", Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 000-F-97-000, June 2001.
- 9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) "Analysis of Cost to Abate Ozone-depleting Substitute Emissions", Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 430-R-04-006, June 2004.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006a) "Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004" Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-002, June 2006
- 11. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006b) "Global Mitigation of Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004" Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-005, June 2006.
- 12. UNEP United Nations Environment Programme (1999a) "The Implications to the Montreal Protocol of the Inclusion of HFCs, and PFCs in the Kyoto Protocol", HFC and PFC Task Force of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, New York, October 1999.
- 13. UNEP United Nations Environment Programme (1999b) "Report of the Solvents, Coatings, and Adhesive Technical Options Committee (STOC): 1998 Assessment", Ozone Secretariat, April 1999.
- 14. UNEP United Nations Environment Programme (2002) "Report of the Aerosols, Sterilants, Miscellaneous Uses and Carbon Tetrachloride: 2002 Assessment", Technical Options Committee, United Nations Environment Programme.